

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/801,956	Applicant(s) FUJIMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner STEVEN C. POHNERT	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) STEVEN C. POHNERT.

(3) _____.

 (2) Lara Dueppen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 April 2010
Time: 2:00
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner contacted applicant's new representative, Michael Wise, about the lack of a change of address or power of attorney in this case. The examiner was instructed that Mr. Wise was out of the country and would not be available. The examiner was then placed in contact with Ms. Dueppen. The examiner brought to the attention of Ms. Dueppen that lack of a power of attorney or change of address. Ms. Dueppen noted that they were in the processing of filing both. The examiner further noted that the instant action would probably be mailed within a week to the prior representative as well as being in PAIR. No discussion of patentability occurred.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)